

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 uww.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/613,565	07/02/2003	Jack R. Barber	016556-003010US	5484	
20350 75	590 07/28/2005		EXAM	INER	
	AND TOWNSEND AN	GIBBS, T	GIBBS, TERRA C		
TWO EMBAR	CADERO CENTER				
EIGHTH FLOO	OR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANCIS	SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			1635	
			DATE MAR ED. 07/29/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/613,565	BARBER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Terra C. Gibbs	1635			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a ro within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 M	ay 2005.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>39-98</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>39-41</u> , <u>64</u> , <u>65</u> , <u>69-71</u> , <u>94</u> , <u>and 95</u> is/are 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>42-63</u> , <u>66-68</u> , <u>72-93</u> <u>and 96-98</u> is/are o 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. re rejected. bjected to.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

Art Unit: 1635

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is a response to Applicants Amendment and Remarks filed May 13, 2005.

Claims 39-98 are pending in the instant application.

It is acknowledged that claims 69-98 correspond exactly or substantially to one or more claims of U.S. Patent 6,448,009. Additionally, it is acknowledged that claims 39-68 correspond exactly or substantially to one or more claims of U.S. Patent 6,183,959.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Nucleotide and/or Amino Acid Sequence Disclosure

In the previous Office Action mailed January 19, 2005, the Examiner pointed out that this application fails to comply with the requirements of 37 §1.821 through 1.825 for the reason(s) set forth below. Applicants amendment filed May 13, 2005 to insert sequence identifiers into the instant specification is acknowledged.

Double Patenting

In the previous Office Action mailed January 19, 2005, claims 39-98 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,605,429 ('429). **This rejection**

Art Unit: 1635

is withdrawn in view of Applicants submission of the terminal disclaimer filed May 13, 2005.

After careful reconsideration of the claims, the following new rejection is made of record:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 39-41, 64, 65, 69-71, 94, and 95 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a new matter rejection.

Claims 64, 65, 94, and 95 recite the limitations, "wherein said substrate binding domain is of length between 12 and 100 nucleotides" and "wherein said substrate binding domain is of length between 14 and 24 nucleotides". In the amendment filed September 8, 2003, Applicants contend that support for claims 94 and 95 can be found in the instant specification at page 22, second ¶. In the amendment filed July 2, 2003, Applicants contend that claims 64 and 65 were copied from the amendment of February

5, 2002, in the prior application 09/355,221, which were copied from U.S. Patent No. 6,183,959. Referring to the amendment of February 5, 2002, in the prior application 09/355,221, Applicants contend that support for claims 64 and 65 can be found on page 22, second ¶. Referring to page 22 second ¶ in the instant application and in prior application 09/355,221, the Examiner cannot find support for the ranges "between 12 and 100 nucleotides" and "between 14 and 24 nucleotides" as recited in claims 64, 65, 94, and 95.

In summary, it does not appear that Applicants have support for the limitations, "wherein said substrate binding domain is of length between 12 and 100 nucleotides" and "wherein said substrate binding domain is of length between 14 and 24 nucleotides" as recited in claims 64, 65, 94, and 95. Therefore, claims 64, 65, 94, 95 and claims that depend therein appear to contain new matter.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter or specifically point out the support for the limitations, wherein said substrate binding domain is of length between 12 and 100 nucleotides" and "wherein said substrate binding domain is of length between 14 and 24 nucleotides" in the reply to this Office Action.

Conclusion

Claims 42-63,66-68, 72-93, and 96-98 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. However, claims 43-

Application/Control Number: 10/613,565

Art Unit: 1635

63,66-68 correspond exactly or substantially to one or more claims of U.S. Patent

6,183,959. Additionally, claims 72-93, and 96-98 correspond exactly or substantially to

one or more claims of U.S. Patent 6,448,009. In this regard, the instant application

would be interfering with the issued U.S. Patents, if not for the outstanding 35 U.S.C.

112, first paragraph rejection against claims 39-41, 64, 65, 69-71, 94, and 95 for new

matter as detailed above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Terra C. Gibbs whose telephone number is 571-272-

0758. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 am - 5 pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Andrew Wang can be reached on 571-272-0811. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tcg

July 22, 2005

UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600